Grain Size Distribution of the 1926 Volcanic Mudflow at Mt. Tokachi, Japan
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In May 1926, a volcanic mudflow triggered by the eruption of Mt. Tokachi, referred to as “the 1926 mudflow,” ran through the Furano and Biei rivers in Hokkaido, Japan, killing 144 residents. This study analyzed the grain size distribution of this mudflow to determine the reason why it sustained such a large force across a gentle plain. The mudflow deposits were sampled and analyzed along the Furano River. To compare the results with those of previous studies, the grain size distribution of deposits were identified in eight different sections. Then the distribution was categorized into three groups: very fine (<0.1 mm in diameter), fine (0.1–2 mm in diameter), and coarse (≥2 mm in diameter). In each section, the proportion of grains in each group were multiplied by the net volume of the mudflow deposition provided by Nanri et al. [2009] to estimate the volume of each grain group. At the downstream end of the source and scouring zones, the very fine group comprised 54% of the transported sediment. This increased to 61% at the end of the transport zone, and reached 85% at the downstream end of the deposition zone. Hence, the 1926 mudflow contained a large amount of very fine materials and the resulting high gap density of the fluid in the mudflow enabled it to extend for more than 20 km from its point of origin across a gentle plain. The large fluid dynamic force resulted in extensive damage to buildings on the plain.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Following the eruption of Mt. Tokachi on May 24, 1926, a volcanic mudflow occurred. Referred to as “the 1926 mudflow,” the event is considered the worst volcanic disaster in Japan in the 20th century. It ran through the Furano and Biei rivers in Hokkaido, Japan (Fig. 1), killing 144 residents [Tokachidake Explosion Afflicted Relief Committee, 1929; Ishikawa et al., 1971]. Immediately after the event, the details of the eruption, inundation area, injuries and fatalities among residents, and damage caused to buildings were documented by various researchers and organizations [Tada and Tuya, 1927; Tanakadate, 1926; Tokachidake Explosion Afflicted Relief Committee, 1929]. Tada and Tuya [1927] reported that deep snow layers melted by a hot debris avalanche from the crater contributed to the massive volume of the mudflow, which was estimated to be approximately 2.0 million m³. The mudflow that ran through the Furano River was estimated to have a volume of 0.45 million m³ (Table 1) [Nanri et al., 2009]. Later Ikari [1940] reexamined the event and revised the inundation area, depth of sediment deposit, and material sizes along the course of the mudflow. More recently, Nanri et al. [2016] estimated that the fluid dynamic force of the mudflow reached more than 10² KN/m, even on a gentle plain where the gradient was just 1:100, leading to the complete destruction of buildings in the path of the mudflow. The strong force was attributed to the fine grain sizes that comprised the extremely heavy flow, the density of which was estimated to be 1.6 to 1.7×10³ kg/m³ [Nanri et al., 2004]. Such a heavy and destructive mudflow is not unusual for an event initiated by a volcanic eruption. For example, the mudflow produced by the eruption and subsequent debris avalanche at Mount St. Helens, USA, in 1981 was equivalent to the 1926 mudflow, with a density of 1.7 × 10³ kg/m³ [Takahashi, 1981]. The flow was...
reported to resemble a cement paste in the Toutle River, 64 km from its point of origin, and also caused major damage to properties in the area. Previous studies have indicated that the materials within mudflows become finer with distance. To examine spatial changes in grain size distribution of the mudflow downstream, we sampled and analyzed the mudflow deposits that still remain along the Furano River.

2. METHODS

2.1 Study area

The 1926 mudflow was initiated from the Taisho Crater on Mt. Tokachi when it erupted (Fig. 1). It traveled down to the confluence of the Mamizu River, scoring the adjacent ground (source and scouring zone: U1 and U2 sections in Fig. 1). The average channel slope of each section was greater than 1:12. Nanri et al. [2009] estimated that in these zones, 3.35 million m$^3$ of sediment was produced and 0.45 million m$^3$ was deposited in total (Table 1). Then the flow ran along a gorge to the junction of the Furano and Pirika-furanui rivers at the outlet of the valley (transport zone: U3 and U4 sections in Fig. 1), where it eroded a volume of 0.65 million m$^3$ and deposited 0.5 million m$^3$ of sediment [Nanri et al., 2009]. The channel bed gradients in this section ranged from 1:20 to 1:50. After passing through the gorge, the flow deposited approximately 1.55 million m$^3$ of sediment (deposition zone: D1, D2, and D3 sections in Fig. 1), while a volume of 1.5 million m$^3$ traveled further downstream [Nanri et al., 2009]. The channel slope was 1:50–1:120 for D1, 1:130–1:460 for D2, and 1:300–1:500 for D3. The mudflow spread across a plain in D2 and then converged in the downstream part of the section (Fig. 1), where it partially destroyed the Kamifurano Bridge [Nanri et al., 1995]. The volume of erosion and deposition per distance of each section is presented in Fig. 2. In this study, the components of the mudflow passing the downstream end of U4 (outlet of the valley) were assumed to be similar to the eroded materials in the U1 to U4 sections.

Nanri et al. [2004] examined the stratigraphy of the 1926 mudflow deposits in the D1 and D2 sections. They found that the deposition presented only a single layer in D1, while two layers were identified in D2. The upper layer was distinctly finer than the lower layer. An equilibrium experiment on
the separation of the fluid and solid phase was conducted by Nanri et al. [2009]. From these results, two possible interpretations for the phenomena were proposed: successive flow was finer than the first flow that arrived in the section, or the mudflow itself had two layers.

2.2 Methods
The materials of the 1926 mudflow deposits were collected from the U1, U2, U3, and U4 sections by

---

**Fig. 2** Longitudinal changes in deposited and eroded sediment volume per unit stream length following the 1926 mudflow
※The data used in this diagram were obtained from Nanri et al. [2009].

**Fig. 3** Distribution of sediment remnants and survey points of ground surface soil in the non-eroded area
※This figure was revised from Nanri et al. [2009].
the Hokkaido Asahikawa Public Works Office [2002, 2003], from the D1 and D2 sections by Nanri et al. [2004], and from the D3 section by Ikari [1940]. Samples were obtained by Hokkaido Asahikawa Public Works Office [2002, 2003] from five sites in U1, five in U2, three in U3, and six in U4. A grid line method was applied to sample surface materials, while subsurface materials were sieved. For other sections, the sampled materials were sieved and sedimentation analyses were applied to collect fine materials. Nanri et al. [2004] excavated five trenches, Tr1–Tr5 (Fig. 1), with depths of approximately 2 m. The samples in D2 (Tr4 and Tr5) were obtained from each layer. In addition, surface soil was collected from the ground at all nine sites at locations close to the mudflow course (non-eroded area) (Fig. 3). These samples were used to determine any differences between the original soils and mudflow deposits. They were subjected to the same analyses as those conducted by Nanri et al. [2004].

The material composition obtained from the sampling sites was averaged for each section. The sampled components were classified into three groups: coarse (≥2 mm in diameter), fine (from 0.1 to 2 mm in diameter), and very fine (<0.1 mm in diameter). After the proportion of each group at each site was obtained, it was multiplied by the deposition and erosion volumes of the mudflow following Nanri et al. [2009] (Table 1).

### Table 1 Volume of each grain size group of sediment deposited, eroded, and transported by the 1926 mudflow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Grained size</th>
<th>Deposited volume</th>
<th>Eroded volume</th>
<th>Transported volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source and scouring zone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL 1,100m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefectural road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confluence of Mamizu river</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boundary of national forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlet of valley</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad</td>
<td></td>
<td>D2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 25 road</td>
<td></td>
<td>D3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 4 road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream of deposition zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>Outflow volume from Deposition zone</td>
<td>150 128 22 0</td>
<td>400 197 95 108</td>
<td>400 197 95 108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total volume</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

※1: Nanri et al. [2009]
※2: The volume of debris avalanche around 1926 crater (Taisho crater)

### 3. GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

The grain size distribution is presented in Fig. 4. Coarse materials accounted for 95% of the deposits, with the D₆₀ and D₉₅ being 200 and 1,000 mm, respectively, in U3. Nanri et al. [2009] reported that deposits of similar components were widely spread out over this section (Fig. 3). On the other hand, deposits in U1, U2, and U4 were much finer, with 35–60% of them being in the fine and very fine groups.

In the deposition zone, D1 consisted of mainly coarse deposits (55%) (Fig. 4). On the other hand, less than 20% of the sampled materials in D2 and D3 were in the coarse group, while materials from the very fine group accounted for 45% and 20% of the materials in D2 and D3, respectively. It was estimated that 85% of the mudflow consisted of very fine materials when it traveled downstream of D3 (Fig. 4).

The results shown in Table 1 indicate that deposited sediment was distributed mainly throughout the deposition zone and downstream of the deposition zone. All eroded sediment was supplied from the transport zone and the source and scouring zone. It was estimated that at the outlet of the valley the volume of the mudflow reached 3.05 million m³, with 61% of all materials being from the very fine group (Table 1). The material composition of the original ground soil was compared to the
deposited sediment (Fig. 5). The ‘f’ and ‘i’ samples in the transport zone were similar to the coarse group materials.

As shown in Fig. 6, at the downstream end of the source and scouring zone, very fine materials accounted for 54% of the transported sediment. This increased to 61% at the end of the transport zone and reached 85% at the downstream end of the deposition.
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4. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the grain size distribution of the 1926 mudflow and found that the grains rapidly became finer throughout the D2 section. This would have produced a dense fluid that could have yielded a large dynamic force of more than $10^2$ KN/m, accounting for the extensive damage to houses across the gentle plain more than 20 km from the 1926 crater. The material composition at the downstream end of the U4 section (outlet of the valley) was similar to the original ground soil. Hence, it is possible that the materials present in the channel course could be used to estimate the fluid dynamic force in the flood plain, which would help create a hazard map in preparation for possible future disasters.
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